Electron transport properties in Al$_{0.25}$Ga$_{0.75}$N/AlN/GaN heterostructures with different InGaN back barrier layers and GaN channel thicknesses grown by MOCVD
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The electron transport properties in Al$_{0.25}$Ga$_{0.75}$N/AlN/GaN/In$_x$Ga$_{1-x}$/N/GaN double heterostructures with various indium compositions and GaN channel thicknesses were investigated. Samples were grown on c-plane sapphire substrates by MOCVD and evaluated using variable temperature Hall effect measurements. In order to understand the observed transport properties, various scattering mechanisms, such as acoustic phonon, optical phonon, interface roughness, background impurity, and alloy disorder, were included in the theoretical model that was applied to the temperature-dependent mobility data. It was found that low temperature (T < 160 K) mobility is limited only by the interface roughness scattering mechanism, while at high temperatures (T > 160 K), optical phonon scattering is the dominant scattering mechanism for AlGaN/AlN/GaN/InGaN/GaN heterostructures. The higher mobility of the structures with InGaN back barriers was attributed to the large conduction band discontinuity obtained at the channel/buffer interface, which leads to better electron confinement.

1 Introduction Due to their superior material properties, such as large band gap, large breakdown field, and high saturation velocity, nitride-based semiconductors have attracted a great deal of attention for high power and high frequency applications [1, 2]. In order to improve the performance of devices, various barriers and channel alternatives were exploited in nitride-based HEMTs [3–7]. Important progress has been made with improvements in the material quality, device fabrication, and the epitaxial layer designs. An AlN interlayer has been inserted between the AlGaN barrier and GaN layer, which makes polarization effects stronger and this causes a higher sheet carrier density. Additionally, due to less alloy disorder scattering, a higher mobility has been achieved [3]. It has been reported that the incorporation of an InGaN layer between an AlGaN barrier and a GaN channel in conventional heterostructures leads to a higher carrier density that is induced by larger polarization fields and a better confinement at the interface due to the larger conduction band offset [4]. However, it has been quite difficult to grow a cluster-free high quality InGaN channel layer, so the mobility of two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG) in the InGaN channel has been lower when compared to conventional AlGaN/GaN HEMT structures [4]. Thin InGaN layers have also been employed as back barriers in order to increase the confinement of electrons in the channel by increasing the conduction band offset of the GaN buffer with respect to the GaN channel [8–11].

Despite these studies that have been reported in the literature, there are not any reports in terms of the detailed analysis of the transport characteristics of HEMTs with the InGaN back barrier. As the first step in realizing a high performance AlGaN/AlN/GaN/InGaN/GaN HEMT structure, it is very important to understand its basic electron transport properties, which have not been studied systematically. In this work, we investigate the electron transport properties in AlGaN/AlN/GaN/InGaN/GaN double heterostructures with different InGaN back barriers and GaN channel thicknesses using variable temperature Hall effect.
measurements. Analytical models were applied to the experimental data in order to understand scattering mechanisms that govern the transport properties of the structures in a temperature range of 30–300 K.

2 Experimental details \( \text{Al}_{0.25}\text{Ga}_{0.75}\text{N/AlN/GaN/In}_{x}\text{Ga}_{1-x}\text{N/GaN} \) HEMT structures were grown on 2 in. (0001) sapphire substrates in a vertical low pressure metal-organic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD) system. Prior to epitaxial growth, the sapphire substrate was annealed at 1050 °C for 15 min in order to remove surface contamination. The growth was initiated with a 15 nm thick low temperature (LT) AlN nucleation layer at a temperature of 5500 °C. Then, a 520 nm high temperature (HT) AlN buffer layer (BL) was grown at a temperature of 11 500 °C. A 1.3 µm thick nominally undoped GaN BL was then grown at 10 500 °C and a reactor pressure of 200 mbar. After the GaN BL, InGaN back barrier layers were grown at a temperature range of 7400–7950 °C with a pressure of 200 mbar. The growth temperature and time were adjusted in order to obtain InGaN back barrier layers with the desired thickness and Indium composition. Following this layer, a GaN channel layer was grown at the same temperature as the InGaN back barrier layer. In order to reduce the alloy disorder scattering (ALLOY), a ~1 nm thick HT AlN inter layer was grown at a temperature of 10 750 °C. A 25 nm AlGaN barrier layer was deposited on an AlN inter layer at a growth temperature of 10 750 °C. The growths were finalized by growing a 3 nm thick GaN cap layer at 10 750 °C. All the layers are nominally undoped. As a reference, one sample was grown without an InGaN back barrier layer. The indium compositions of the back barrier layers were determined by high resolution X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements. The details of all the samples are shown in Table 1.

Variable temperature Hall measurements were used to measure the 2DEG mobility and the sheet carrier density for all the samples. For the Hall effect measurements, square shaped samples in Van der Pauw geometry were prepared with four evaporated Ti/Al/Ni/Au triangular Ohmic contacts at the corners. The ohmic behavior of the contacts was confirmed by the current–voltage (I–V) characteristics. The measurements were performed at various temperatures over a temperature range of 30–300 K by using a Lake Shore Hall effect measurement system.

3 Results and discussion The conduction band profile and carrier distribution for the AlGaN/AlN/GaN and AlGaN/AlN/GaN/InGaN/GaN structures is calculated by solving one-dimensional non-linear Schrödinger–Poisson equations, self-consistently including polarization induced carriers [12]. The conduction band profile, carrier distribution, and general structure of the AlGaN/AlN/GaN/InGaN/GaN HEMT are plotted in Fig. 1, along with that of a conventional AlGaN/AlN/GaN HEMT. It is clearly seen from Fig. 1b that the InGaN back barrier layer significantly improves the electron confinement in the channel by introducing a conduction band discontinuity at the channel/buffer interface.

Hall measurements of the grown samples as a function of the temperature (30–300 K) were carried out at a constant magnetic field (0.4 T). Figure 2a and b shows Hall measurement results as a function of temperature for the mobility and carrier density for all the samples, respectively. As shown Fig. 2a and b, while the mobilities of all the samples decrease with increasing temperature, they are independent of temperature at LTs. In addition, the carrier densities of the samples are also almost independent of temperature in the measured temperature range. These behaviors of mobilities and sheet carrier densities extracted from Hall measurements are typical of 2DEG structures. The majority of the samples with InGaN back barriers have higher mobility and carrier density compared to the control sample. Therefore, inserting an InGaN layer between the GaN channel and GaN BL has been effective for enhancing the 2DEG confinement and then improving the mobility.

Accordingly, when samples C, E, and F (which have the same GaN layer thickness but different indium compositions) are compared with each other, sample C has the highest mobility along with the lowest sheet carrier density, whereas, sample F has the lowest mobility with the highest sheet carrier density. Meanwhile, sample D has relatively low mobility and also low sheet carrier density at the same time, which is generally not expected. The lower mobility

Table 1 Summary of the main structural parameters of the samples and Hall measurement results at room temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sample</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In composition of back barrier (%)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GaN channel thickness (nm)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mobility at 300 K (cm²/Vs)</td>
<td>1029</td>
<td>872</td>
<td>1294</td>
<td>1029</td>
<td>1367</td>
<td>1186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2DEG density at 300 K (x10¹³ cm⁻²)</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sheet resistance at 300 K (Ω/sq)</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>374</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Control sample.

Figure 1 (online color at: www.pss-a.com) (a) Layer structures of the investigated samples. (b) Calculated conduction band profile and the carrier distribution of the standard HEMT structure and the structure with InGaN back barrier.
obtained in this sample was attributed to higher indium composition while the low sheet carrier density is caused by higher GaN channel thickness. The conduction band diagrams and sheet carrier densities that were obtained by solving Schrödinger–Poisson’s equations for the AlGaN/AlN/GaN/InGaN/GaN structures with varying GaN channel thickness are shown in Fig. 3. As can be seen in the Fig. 3, the sheet carrier density will decrease with increasing GaN channel thickness because GaN channel’s potential is pulled up by the InGaN back barrier layer. Moreover, mobility decreases with the increasing indium composition of the InGaN back barrier layer as shown in Fig. 4.

In order to understand the transport properties of the 2DEG channel formed in the structures, scattering analyses were carried out by using the variable temperature Hall effect measurement data. In our analyses, polar optical phonon scattering (PO), acoustic phonon scattering (AC), background impurity scattering (IMP), interface roughness scattering (IFR), and ALLOY were used. All of the calculated scattering mechanisms are summed up with Matthiessen’s rule in order to find the total mobility. The expressions of scattering mechanisms used in these calculations are given elsewhere [13].

The parameters used in these calculations are taken from Ref. [14]. The correlation length (\(\Lambda\)), lateral size (\(\Delta\)), and quantum well width (\(Z_0\)) were taken as free parameters to fit the experimental mobility data and were given in Table 2. In these calculations, since the measured sheet carrier densities were nearly constant through the whole temperature range, they were accepted as constant. The scattering analyses based on the Hall measurement data show that the LT (\(T < 160 \text{ K}\)) mobility is limited only by the IFR mechanism, while at the HT (\(T > 160 \text{ K}\)) the optical phonon scattering is the dominant scattering mechanism. Furthermore, the IFR mechanism has a strong influence on the mobility even at HTs. Because of the AlN interlayer used in the structures, alloy scattering is negligible for all of the samples. The effect of AC and IMP are also found to be negligible. In the entire studied temperature range, the strong effect of IFR mechanism results in low mobility. The IFR has been treated

![Figure 2](online color at: www.pss-a.com) Temperature dependence of measured (a) mobility and (b) sheet carrier density for all of the grown samples.

![Figure 3](Calculated conduction band profile and carrier distribution along the z-axis for the AlGaN/AlN/GaN/InGaN/GaN heterostructures with 5 nm (solid line) and 10 nm (dotted line) GaN channel thicknesses. The inset shows the calculated and experimental 2DEG density decrease due to the increasing GaN channel thickness.

![Figure 4](Measured mobility values (along with correlation length \(\Lambda\), and lateral size \(\Delta\) used in scattering analyses) versus indium composition of the InGaN back barrier layers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sample</th>
<th>A ((\text{nm}))</th>
<th>B ((\text{nm}))</th>
<th>C ((\text{nm}))</th>
<th>D ((\text{nm}))</th>
<th>E ((\text{nm}))</th>
<th>F ((\text{nm}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\Lambda) ((\text{nm}))</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>66.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta) ((\text{nm}))</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z_0) ((\text{nm}))</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
mechanism at LTs while both the PO and the IFR mechanisms dominated the mobility at HTs. The reason for the strong influence of IFR is attributed to the InGaN layer’s crystalline quality. Sample E (Al$_{0.25}$Ga$_{0.75}$N/AlN/GaN/In$_{0.05}$Ga$_{0.95}$N/GaN, with $t_{\text{GaN-channel}} = 10$ nm) has been found as the best optimized structure in terms of 2-DEG density and mobility among all of the grown samples. The electron mobility obtained in this double heterostructure is $(1367 \, \text{cm}^2/\text{Vs})$ higher than that of a conventional Al$_{0.25}$Ga$_{0.75}$N/AlN/GaN HEMT structure $(1029 \, \text{cm}^2/\text{Vs})$. Therefore, inserting an InGaN layer between the GaN channel and GaN BL has been effective for enhancing the mobility. It is also expected that the employed InGaN layers will increase the carrier confinement within the 2D channel based on calculations. However, this effect will show itself on the sub-threshold leakage current, electron spill-over, and buffer-related trapping which is beyond the scope of this study. Finally, it can be concluded that if the growth conditions and design parameters can be modified further in order to obtain InGaN layers with higher crystalline quality, even higher electron mobilities that result in lower two-dimensional sheet resistances would be possible.
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